

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 \* \* \*

7 RESIDENTIAL CREDIT SOLUTIONS, INC.,  
8 Plaintiff(s),  
9 v.  
10 LV REAL ESTATE STRATEGIC  
11 INVESTMENT GROUP LLS SERIES 5112,  
12 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:17-CV-84 JCM (NJK)

ORDER

13  
14 Presently before the court is the matter of *Residential Credit Solutions, Inc. v. LV Real*  
15 *Estate Strategic Investment Group LLC Series 5112 et al*, case no. 2:17-cv-00084-JCM-NJK.

16 On February 15, 2018, the court granted the parties' stipulation to stay this case pending  
17 settlement negotiations. (ECF No. 65). On May 25, 2018, the court ordered the parties to file a  
18 joint status report. (ECF No. 66). On June 25, 2018, plaintiff and the HOA filed a status report,  
19 indicating that settlement negotiations have to this point been unsuccessful. (ECF No. 67). The  
20 parties request that the court lift the stay in the case and re-set the dispositive briefing schedule,  
21 and assert that they will continue their attempts at a settlement until the court enters a final  
22 judgment in this action. *Id.*

23 The court will lift the stay and adopt the proposed briefing schedule of the parties. Plaintiff  
24 and the HOA will have 21 days from the date of this order to file supplemental briefs in support  
25 of their respective motions for summary judgment.<sup>1</sup> Thereafter, Local Rule 7-2(b)'s deadlines will  
26 apply to responses and replies.

27  
28 <sup>1</sup> The joint status report includes one specifically stated reason for the court to grant leave  
to file supplemental briefing: to offer the parties a chance to address the applicability of *Federal*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Accordingly,  
IT IS SO ORDERED.  
DATED June 27, 2018.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

*Home Loan Mortgage Corporation et al v. SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC*, Case No. 16-15692 (9th Cir. June 25, 2018), to the facts of this case, which plaintiff argues is directly on point.